IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI EASTERN DIVISION

WILLIE RUSHING PLAINTIFF

v. No. 1:06CV251-P-A

DETECTIVE LARRY TAYLOR, ET AL.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT OR ORDER

This matter comes before the court on the plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the

court's November 7, 2006, memorandum opinion and final judgment dismissing the instant case

for failure to exhaust administrative remedies. The court interprets the motion, using the liberal

standard for pro se litigants set forth in Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519 (1972), as a motion for

relief from a judgment or order under FED. R. CIV. P. 60. An order granting relief under Rule 60

must be based upon: (1) clerical mistakes, (2) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable

neglect, (3) newly discovered evidence, (4) fraud or other misconduct of an adverse party, (5) a

void judgment, or (6) any other reason justifying relief from the operation of the order. The

plaintiff has neither asserted nor proven any of the specific justifications for relief from an order

permitted under Rule 60. In addition, the plaintiff has not presented "any other reason justifying

relief from the operation" of the judgment. As such, the plaintiff's request for reconsideration is

DENIED.

SO ORDERED, this the 12th day of September, 2007.

/s/ W. Allen Pepper, Jr.

W. ALLEN PEPPER, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE